PATENT Attorney Docket No. 492.216

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Alan F. Savicki

Application No. 10/049,319

Filed: February 5, 2002

For: CLOSURE DEVICE

Art Unit: 3677

Examiner: J.R. Brittain

RECEIVED
GENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 1 3 2004

AMENDMENT

OFFICIAL

Mail Stop Non Fee Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed January 13, 2004, currently due May 13, 2004 pursuant to one-month petition for extension of time submitted herewith, please enter the following amendments and consider the following remarks.

AMENDMENTS IN TOLE CLAIMS begin on page 2 of this paper.

REMARKS begin on page 6 of this paper.

06/03/2004 HERDEN

00000001 032270

10049319

01 FC:1251

I hereby certify that t with the United State Patents, P.O. Box 14:	s Postal Service as first class mail in an envel	anying docum ope addressed facsimile trans	JSSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8 ents are, on the date indicated below, being deposited to: Mail Stop Non Fee Amendment, Commissioner for mitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Attention:
Name (Print/Type)	To a Sampson	•	
Signature	Done Jampson	Date	May 13, 2004

In re Appln. of Savicki, Alan F. Application No. 10/049,319

manner that removes substantially all the material of the upper flanges above the closure elements, including both the upwardly extending first length portion and inwardly extending second length portion of the respective upper trange portions. As is seen in Figs. 7 and 11 of Stolmeier et al. '281, the separator has a wide point that extends well beyond the normal occluded position of the vertically upright length portions of the upper flanges. Thus, without the provision of the notch in both the vertically upwardly extending first length portion and inwardly extending second length portion of the respective upper flange portions, the separator would still act to deocclude the fastening strips.

Claims dependent upon a claim that is not anticipated by a reference cannot be rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) and claims dependent upon a claim that is not obvious cannot be rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103. See, e.g., RCA Corp. v. Applied Digital Data Systems, 221 U.S.P.Q.2d 385 (Fed. Cir. 1984); In re Fine, 5 U.S.P.Q.2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988). Since none of the cited references render independent claim 1 anticipated or obvious, dependent claims 2-15 are likewise patentable.

Fee Authorization

Examiner is authorized to charge a one-month extension fee of \$110.00 to Deposit Account 032270. A duplicate of this paper is attached.

Conclusion

The application is considered in good and proper form for allowance, and the Examiner is respectfully requested to pass this application to issue. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephone conference would expense to the prosecution of the subject application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney.

Finally, while no fees are due, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 03 2270.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 13, 2004

Customer No. 27023

Thomas C. Feix, Reg. No. 34,592

The Glad Products Company

PO Box 24305

Oakland, CA 94623-1305 (510) 271-7416 (Telephone) (510) 271-5652 (Facsimile)

J:\PT\TCF\492.216\492.216 response to 1-13-04 OALdoc